Case 1:22-cr-00304-ADA-BAM Document 27 Filed 09/19/23 Page 1 of 4

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney DAVID L. GAPPA Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street Suite 4401 Fresno, California 93721	
2		
3		
4		
5	Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099	
6	Attampage for Plaintiff	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00304-ADA-BAM
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; [PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER
13	v.	
14	JACOB JACOBSEN,,	PROPOSED DATE: December 13, 2023
15	Defendant.	TIME: 1:00 p.m. COURT: Hon. Barbara A. McAuliffe
16		
17	This case is scheduled for a status conference on September 27, 2023, but the parties have agreed	
18	to move this hearing to December 13, 2023.	
19	Ends-of-justice continuances are excludable only if "the judge granted such continuance on the	
20	basis of [her] findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of	
21	the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Moreover, no such period is	
22	excludable unless "the court sets forth, in the record of the case, either orally or in writing, its reason or	
23	finding that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interests of	
24	the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." <i>Id.</i>	
25	Recently, the Ninth Circuit enumerated a "non-exhaustive" list of seven factors it found to be	
26	"relevant" in considering ends-of-justice Speedy Trial Act continuances "in the context of the COVID-	
27	19 pandemic." United States v. Olsen, 21 F.4th 1036, 1047 (9th Cir. 2022). That non-exhaustive list	
28	includes: (1) whether a defendant is detained pending trial; (2) how long a defendant has been detained;	

(3) whether a defendant has invoked speedy trial rights since the case's inception; (4) whether a defendant, if detained, belongs to a population that is particularly susceptible to complications if infected with the virus; (5) the seriousness of the charges a defendant faces, and in particular whether the defendant is accused of violent crimes; (6) whether there is a reason to suspect recidivism if the charges against the defendant are dismissed; and (7) whether the district court has the ability to safely conduct a trial. *Id*.

In light of the societal context created by the foregoing, this court should consider the following case-specific facts in finding excludable delay appropriate in this particular case under the ends-of-justice exception, § 3161(h)(7). When continued, this court should designate a new date for the hearing. *United States v. Lewis*, 611 F.3d 1172, 1176 (9th Cir. 2010) (noting any pretrial continuance must be "specifically limited in time").

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and through defendant's counsel of record, accordingly stipulate as follows:

- 1. By previous order this matter was set for a status conference hearing on September 27, 2023. The Court more recently has invited a continuance of this hearing if counsel do not believe that anything substantial can be accomplished at the currently scheduled hearing.
- 2. By this stipulation, the parties agree that the next status conference be scheduled for December 13, 2023, and to exclude time between September 27, 2023, and December 13, 2023, under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (ii) and (iv).
 - 3. The parties agree, and request that the Court find the following:
 - a) A continuance is required to permit appointed counsel to complete review of discovery that has been provided and to complete review of evidence at an FBI office in Ripon, California. Counsel also needs time to consult with the defendant on how the defendant wishes to proceed with this case and decide between filing any motions, attempting to negotiate a resolution, or proceeding to trial.
 - b) The government does not object to the continuance and joins in the request.
 - c) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the

Case 1:22-cr-00304-ADA-BAM Document 27 Filed 09/19/23 Page 3 of 4

original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

- d) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period from September 27, 2023, to December 13, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (ii) and (iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the request of the parties on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: September 19, 2023

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ David Gappa
DAVID L. GAPPA
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: September 19, 2023

/s/ DOUGLAS FOSTER
DOUGLAS FOSTER
COUNSEL FOR
JACOB JACOBSEN,

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. 1:22-CR-00304-ADA-BAM 11 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER 12 v. PROPOSED DATE: December 13, 2023 13 TIME: 1:00 p.m. JACOB JACOBSEN,, COURT: Hon. Barbara A. McAuliffe 14 Defendant. 15 16 17 [PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER 18 The court has reviewed and considered the stipulation filed by the parties on September 19, 19 2023, and also reviewed the record of this case. For the reasons stated in the stipulation the period of 20 time from September 27, 2023, through December 13, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable under 18 21 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (ii) and (iv) because it results from a continuance granted 22 by the Court at the request of the parties on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice 23 served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 24 IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED on September ______, 2023. 25 26 THE HONORABLE BARBARA A. McAULIFFE 27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 28